UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,454	01/12/2006	Yosuke Ishikawa	108426-00059	4923
4372 ARENT FOX I	7590 09/28/2007 LLP		EXAMINER	
1050 CONNEC	CTICUT AVENUE, N.V	V	HOANG, JOHNNY H	
SUITE 400 WASHINGTO	N, DC 20036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3747	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/28/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DCIPDocket@arentfox.com IPMatters@arentfox.com Patent Mail@arentfox.com

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/528,454	ISHIKAWA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Johnny H. Hoang	3747			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Description of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 o	lanuary 2006.				
	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowed	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 25-35 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 25,30,32 and 34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 26-29,31,33 and 35 is/are objected to the striction and/or striction and/or striction.	o.	·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 18 March 2005 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ ob drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage			
	•				
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intension	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application			
3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of				

DETAILED ACTION

Inventorship

1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 26-29, 31,33, and 35 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- Claim 26, "The electronic control unit as claimed in claim 1" must be changed to –The electronic control unit as claimed in claim 25--.
- Claims 27-28, "The electronic control unit as claimed in claim 2" must be changed to The electronic control unit as claimed in claim 26--.
- Claim 29, "The electronic control unit as claimed in claim 4" must be changed to –The electronic control unit as claimed in claim 28--
- Claim 31, "The electronic control unit as claimed in claim 6" must be changed to -The electronic control unit as claimed in claim 30--.

Claim 33, "The electronic control unit as claimed in claim 8" must be changed to -The electronic control unit as claimed in claim 32--.

Claim 35, "The electronic control unit as claimed in claim 10" must be changed to –The electronic control unit as claimed in claim 34--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 25, 30, 32, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Ishida (US 5,947,083).

Re claim 25, Ishida discloses an ECU (unit 15, Fig. 1), crank angle sensor (unit 13, Fig. 1) for detecting an engine speed, temperature sensor (unit 9, Fig. 1) for detecting the temperature of the engine, and an oxygen sensor (unit 21, Fig. 1) for detecting an air/fuel ratio of engine. Also note col. 3, line 10 through col. 5, line 9 and figures 2-5, which describes the calculation of ignition timing value of the engine by using the correction term proportional to a controlled variable [engine speed signal from crank angle sensor (unit 13, Fig. 1)] and a correction term proportional to an integration of differences between said controlled variable and a designed value [the temperature of engine from temperature sensor (unit 9, Fig. 1) or the air/fuel ratio of engine from the oxygen sensor (unit 21, Fig. 1)].

The system of claim 30, and the method of claim 32 are rejected the same reasons as claim 25 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/528,454

Art Unit: 3747

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior and are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been challenged the time the

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishida.

Ishida discloses claimed invention except for the utilization of the computer program, but

rather uses the electronic control unit for processing the engine system. It would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a computer program (which applicants are

placed on official notice that it is well known in the art to include computer program in the

electronic control unit) instead of disclosing the ECU has to include the computer program.

Furthermore, applicants are also placed on official notice that calculating an ignition timing value

are known techniques of the uses of the ECU and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the ECU of Ishida that includes the

computer program for calculating the ignition timing value of the engine.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 26-29, 31, 33, and 35 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base

claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/528,454

Art Unit: 3747

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. The reference has been cited as art of interest to show other system using the

electronic control unit for calculating the ignition timing values.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Johnny H. Hoang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4843.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on (571) 272-4536. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JHH

September 24, 2007

Johnny H. Hoang Examiner

Art Unit 3747

Page 5.